

0300
06JAUUAFJA KÁ ÁT
S000UWVY
UWU0U0U0U0UWVÁ0S0US
000000
00U0A0F0000 I 000V

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KING

LARGO WALES, a married woman,)
)
Plaintiff,)
v.)
)
CITY OF AUBURN, WA, a Washington)
State municipality; NANCY BACKUS, as)
Mayor of the City of Auburn, and)
Individually and her marital community; and)
The Committee to Elect Nancy Backus and)
its J. Doe committee members thereto; and)
ROB ROSCOE and his marital community,)
)
Defendants)
)

No. 19-2-20274-2 KNT

2ND AMENDED COMPLAINT TO
CORRECT SCRIVENERS ERROR;
VIOLATION OF FREEDOM OF
SPEECH, RIGHT TO PRIVACY

Plaintiff LARGO WALES alleges:

I. JURISDICTION

1. This court has jurisdiction over the case pursuant to RCW 2.08.010.

II. PARTIES AND VENUE

1. Plaintiff LARGO WALES (Ms. Wales) resides in KING County, Washington and is a City of Auburn, WA Council member;
2. The City of Auburn is a Washington municipality co-located in King and Pierce Counties;
3. Rob Roscoe was the City of Auburn Director of Human Resources and Risk Management during the period complained of; and

- 1
2 4. Nancy Backus is the Mayor of the City of Auburn, WA.
3

4 III. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS
5

- 6 1. On or about September 2016, Rob Roscoe held a meeting with Ms. Wales on City property.
7 Said meeting was called by Rob Roscoe and attended only by he and Ms. Wales.
8
9 2. During said meeting Rob Roscoe complained about a statement, allegedly made by Ms. Wales
10 in an open, non-government, forum and advising Ms. Wales that her speech should be
11 attenuated.
12
13 3. Rob Roscoe reported directly to Mayor Nancy Backus during September 2016.
14
15 4. Whereas the Roscoe – Wales discussion was private any characterization of its ends and
16 means would be unknown and speculative. However, Nancy Backus' Committee to Elect
17 Nancy Backus issued a campaign notice describing the Roscoe – Wales interaction as "The
18 City of Auburn chastised Largo Wales...."
19

20 COUNT I – Violation of Free Speech
21

- 22 1. The Roscoe – Wales meeting was a violation Ms. Wales **State of Washington Constitution**
23 **Fifth Section right to free speech, to wit "Every person may freely speak, write and**
24 **publish on all subjects, being responsible for the abuse of that right."**
25
26 2. Mr. Roscoe was acting under color of law for the City.
27
28 3. Mr. Roscoe's meeting with Ms. Wales served no legitimate city business.
29
30 4. Any Roscoe complained of allegations against Ms. Wales was based on hearsay.
31
32 5. The later release of said meeting contents came from Rob Roscoe, ostensibly to Nancy
33 Backus, and finally the Committee to Elect Nancy Backus.
34
35 6. Ms. Wales ultimately suffered damage to reputation from the Roscoe meeting, which was
36 illegitimately released and ultimately intentionally misused.
37
38 7. Rob Roscoe proximately caused Ms. Wales damages by infringing on her right to exercise free
39 speech.
40
41 8. Nancy Backus proximately caused Ms. Wales damages by releasing the Roscoe – Wales
42 meeting alleged content to her Committee to elect her as Mayor.
43

- 1 9. Nancy Backus, as Mayor of the City of Auburn, violated Ms. Wales right to free speech by
2 using her position to create unfavorable information on a political opponent and then releasing
3 said information that Backus would not have had in her possession but for her position as
4 Mayor.
5
- 6 10. The Committee to Elect Nancy Backus and its members proximately caused the damages to
7 Ms. Wales by using information it knew or should have known was ill gotten.
8
- 9 11. Defendants Roscoe, Backus, the City of Auburn, and the Committee to Elect Nancy Backus
10 and its members, are jointly and severally liable to plaintiff in an amount to be proved at trial.
11

12 COUNT II – Violation of Right to Privacy 13

- 14 1. The release of said meeting contents by and between Rob Roscoe and Ms. Wales was a
15 violation on Ms. Wales expectation of privacy, as enunciated by the Washington State
16 Supreme Court in *State v Afana* at paragraph 15, to wit:
17

18 “... our state constitution “clearly recognizes an individual's right to privacy with no
19 express limitations.” *State v. White*, 97 Wn.2d 92, 110, 640 P.2d 1061 (1982). In contrast
20 to the Fourth Amendment, article I, section 7 emphasizes “protecting personal rights
21 rather than ... curbing governmental actions.” *State v. Afana*, 169 Wn.2d 169, 233 P.3d
22 879, 2010 Wash. LEXIS 539, 169 Wn.2d 169, 233 P.3d 879, 2010 Wash. LEXIS 539
23

24 **Article 1, section 7 of the Washington State Constitution reads as follows, “No**
25 **person shall be disturbed in his private affairs, or his home invaded, without**
26 **authority of law.”** <http://leg.wa.gov/LawsAndAgencyRules/Pages/constitution.aspx>
27

- 28 2. There were no other attendees to the Roscoe – Wales meeting so anything said therein could
29 have been factually true or false.
30
- 31 3. Ms. Wales ultimately suffered damage to reputation from the Roscoe meeting when its
32 alleged substance was illegitimately released and ultimately intentionally misused.
33
- 34 4. Rob Rosco proximately caused Ms. Wales damages by infringing on her right to privacy by
35 releasing any version of the meeting substance.
36
- 37 5. Nancy Backus violated Ms. Wales right to privacy and proximately caused Ms. Wales
38 damages by releasing the alleged Roscoe – Wales meeting content to her Committee to elect
39 her as Mayor.
40
- 41 6. Nancy Backus, as Mayor of the City of Auburn, violated Ms. Wales right to privacy by using
42 her position to create unfavorable information on a political opponent and then releasing said
43 information Backus would not have had in her possession but for her position as Mayor.
44

- 1 7. The Committee to Elect Nancy Backus and its members violated Ms. Wales right to privacy
2 and proximately caused the damages to Ms. Wales by using information it knew or should
3 have known was ill gotten.
4
5 8. Defendants Roscoe, Backus, the City of Auburn and the Committee to Elect Nancy Backus
6 and its members, are jointly and severally liable to plaintiff in an amount to be proved at trial.
7

8 V. DEMAND FOR RELIEF
9

10 Plaintiff requests that the court enter judgment against defendants Roscoe, Backus, the City of
11 Auburn and the Committee to Elect Nancy Backus and its member as follows:
12

- 13 1. Awarding plaintiff special damages for lost potential future earnings.
14
15 2. Awarding plaintiff general damages for pain, suffering and mental anguish.
16
17 3. Awarding plaintiff her statutory costs and attorney fees incurred in this action.
18
19 4. Awarding plaintiff any additional or further relief which the court finds appropriate or just.
20

21 Dated: 9/19/19
22

23 
24
25 LARGO WALES, Plaintiff
26

27 Presented by:
28

29 AUBURN LAW OFFICES PLLC
30
31

32 
33
34 John M. Torres, Jr.
35 Attorney for Plaintiff
36 WSBA# 26287
37

38 220 1st ST NE
39 Auburn, WA 98002
40 253-288-8015
41

42 main@auburnlawoffices.com
43
44